

Use this form to submit information about a complaint related to an SI-BONE product to Quality Affairs.

Contact QA@si-bone.com if you have any questions.

Contact Info

Use this to record your attempts to contact and gather information from the customer

Your name	Ryan Perestock	
Customer name	Michael Ghassibi	
How did you learn about this issue? (select all that apply)?	From the HCP or associated staff	

Please provide any relevant details about your communication. Full complaint description will be captured on the following page:

Dr. Ghassibi communicated to me he believed one of the iFuse Torq implants used during the revision case on 8/22/23 became loose and needed to be removed.

Complaint Overview

Enter information about surgery that resulted in a problem with any SI-BONE implant, instrument or accessory (e.g., drill bit, pin).

Date you first heard of problem with SI-BONE product.	Friday, December	8, 2023
Date of original surgery (if revision is being reported) or alleged product failure	Tuesday, August	22, 2023
Indicate affected device(s) (choose all that apply)	iFuse-TORQ	

Part number(s) (please list the number of each part involved)(required) PN: 10040T

Lot number(s) Lot: 9076371

Product Complaint or Adverse Event?

Decide what type of report you are submitting. Contact QA@si-bone.com if you have any questions.

Product Complaint Without Patient Problem

Use this form to report an SI-BONE product problem that was NOT associated with a patient adverse event.

Examples include:

- Damaged instrument/implant
- Broken/ bent/ cut pin
- Pin advancement but no patient injury
- Packaging issue

If patient injury occured, go back and click YES to report patient problem.

(Information should be from SI-BONE staff who attended initial surgery)

The surgeon completed all steps in the IFU, including:

- Patient setup (table, spine neutral position, prone or supine position)
- Inspection of instruments and implants prior to use
- Proper views (lateral, inlet and outlet) obtained during use of instruments and placement of implants that clearly showed recommended anatomic landmarks
- Appropriate implant starting position
- (If performed) proper use of neuromonitoring guide pin and equipment Use of length gauge for implant length selection
- Were standard wound closure techniques used?

Select Adverse Event Type

What problem did patient have?

Implant malposition (e.g. causing nerve irritation)

Implant Malposition Form

Use this form if patient an implant malposition was detected.

On which side(s) were SI-BONE implants placed during initial surgery?	Right	
Which side shows implant malposition?	Right	
Did any SI-BONE staff attend initial surgery?	Yes	
Name of SI-BONE staff in attendance at initial surgery	Ryan Perestock	
Imaging type used during initial surgery	C-arm/fluoro only	

(Information should be from SI-BONE staff who attended initial surgery) The surgeon completed all steps in the IFU, including:

Patient setup (table, spine neutral position, prone or supine position)

Inspection of instruments and implants prior to use

- Proper views (lateral, inlet and outlet) obtained during use of instruments and placement of implants that clearly showed recommended anatomic landmarks
- Appropriate implant starting position
- (If performed) proper use of neuromonitoring guide pin and equipment
- Use of length gauge for implant length selection Were standard wound closure techniques used?

Yes, all steps were completed accurately

See summary of IFU steps. Did surgeon complete all steps as shown above (regardless of post-op symptoms)?

Please describe procedure steps not done properly or other pertinent information

NA

Did patient have revision surgery?

Yes

Continued, recurrent, or new pain

Use this form if pain did not improve, pain improved but then returned, or new onset pain

(Information should be from SI-BONE staff who attended initial surgery)

The surgeon completed all steps in the IFU, including:

- Patient setup (table, spine neutral position, prone or supine position)
- Inspection of instruments and implants prior to use
- Proper views (lateral, inlet and outlet) obtained during use of instruments and placement of implants that clearly showed recommended anatomic landmarks
- Appropriate implant starting position
- (If performed) proper use of neuromonitoring guide pin and equipment Use of length gauge for implant length selection
- Were standard wound closure techniques used?

Surgical Wound Problem

Use this form if patient developed postoperative surgical wound problem (infection, dehiscence).

Other Problem

Use this section ONLY if the patient problem is NOT:

- Implant malposition causing nerve irritation
- Pain did not improve or recurred
- Surgical wound problem

Revision Procedure

Complete this form as thoroughly as possible for a complaint involving a revision surgery.

Please indicate date of revision procedure

Thursday, December 21, 2023

Reason for revision (e.g. nerve impingement, loosening, etc.). Please be as specific as possible:

Dr. Ghassibi concluded from a MRI that one of the 10040T iFuse Torg implants became loose after the revision surgery on 08/22/23. No nerve issues were reported but patient had pain.

Which step(s) were performed during the revision? Choose all that apply:

Please further describe the revision procedure (any issues with instrumentation or medical issues?. Be as specific as possible. Failure to provide details will result in continued follow up with you:

Dr. Ghassibi used a guide wired down the cannulation of the 10040T iFuse Torq implant to facilitate the removal process of the implant. Once the guide wire was in place, he used the iFuse Torq driver and driver sleeve over the guide wire to recapture the implant for removal. Once driver was attached to implant head, implant was easily removed. It appeared that the 10040T iFuse Torq implant had migrated into the initial channel that was created during the removal of the iFuse 3D implant removed on 8/22/23.

To your knowledge, was the patient's issue resolved after surgery?



You may be contacted for further information if your submission is lacking critical details. We appreciate your thoroughness.