

Use this form to submit information about a complaint related to an SI-BONE product to Quality Affairs.

Contact QA@si-bone.com if you have any questions.

Contact Info

Use this to record your attempts to contact and gather information from the customer

Your name quintyn menegazzi

Customer name Rebecca Kuo

How did you learn about this issue? (select all that apply)?

From the HCP or associated staff

Please provide any relevant details about your communication. Full complaint description will be captured on the following page:

Janice reached out to me on 05/30/2024 to notify me of this case being scheduled.

Complaint Overview

Enter information about surgery that resulted in a problem with any SI-BONE implant, instrument or accessory (e.g., drill bit, pin).

Date you first heard of problem with SI-BONE product.

Thursday, May 30, 2024

Date of original surgery (if revision is being reported) or alleged product failure

Friday, May 24, 2024

Indicate affected device(s) (choose all that apply)

iFuse-3D

Part number(s) (please list the number of each part involved)(required)

7045M-90 7040M-90

Lot number(s)

9054184 9060386

Product Complaint or Adverse Event?

Decide what type of report you are submitting. Contact QA@si-bone.com if you have any questions.

YES, potential or actual (Ex: required revision, patient adverse event)

Product Complaint Without Patient Problem

Use this form to report an SI-BONE product problem that was NOT associated with a patient adverse event.

Examples include:

- Damaged instrument/implant
- Broken/ bent/ cut pin
- · Pin advancement but no patient injury
- · Packaging issue

If patient injury occured, go back and click YES to report patient problem.

(Information should be from SI-BONE staff who attended initial surgery)

The surgeon completed all steps in the IFU, including:

- Patient setup (table, spine neutral position, prone or supine position)
- Inspection of instruments and implants prior to use
- Proper views (lateral, inlet and outlet) obtained during use of instruments and placement of implants that clearly showed recommended anatomic landmarks
- Appropriate implant starting position
- (If performed) proper use of neuromonitoring guide pin and equipment
 Use of length gauge for implant length selection
- Were standard wound closure techniques used?

Select Adverse Event Type

What problem did patient have?

Continued, recurrent, or new pain

Implant Malposition Form

Use this form if patient an implant malposition was detected.

(Information should be from SI-BONE staff who attended initial surgery)

The surgeon completed all steps in the IFU, including:

- Patient setup (table, spine neutral position, prone or supine position)
- Inspection of instruments and implants prior to use
- Proper views (lateral, inlet and outlet) obtained during use of instruments and placement of implants that clearly showed recommended anatomic landmarks
- Appropriate implant starting position
- (If performed) proper use of neuromonitoring guide pin and equipment
 Use of length gauge for implant length selection
- Were standard wound closure techniques used?

Continued, recurrent, or new pain

Use this form if pain did not improve, pain improved but then returned, or new onset pain

Best description of time course of pain recurrence:

Pain never got better

Were any additional causes of pain discovered during workup?

I don't know

If CT was performed, please email scan to QA@si-bone.com. CT results show:

Dark areas around implant without a rind of bone

Additional CT results / details

The second and third implant seemed to have some haloing. The third implant was very posterior and was not fully contained within the sacrum

Was initial surgery attended by SI-BONE staff member?

Yes

Name of SI-BONE staff member attending initial surgery

Joe Jin

(Information should be from SI-BONE staff who attended initial surgery)

The surgeon completed all steps in the IFU, including:

- Patient setup (table, spine neutral position, prone or supine position)
- Inspection of instruments and implants prior to use
- Proper views (lateral, inlet and outlet) obtained during use of instruments and placement of implants that clearly showed recommended anatomic landmarks
- Appropriate implant starting position
- (If performed) proper use of neuromonitoring guide pin and equipment Use of length gauge for implant length selection
- Were standard wound closure techniques used?

See summary of IFU steps. Did surgeon complete all steps as shown above?

I don't know

Please describe any steps inaccurately performed, or other details of the case

Unknown

Did patient have revision surgery as a result of this problem?

Yes

Surgical Wound Problem

Use this form if patient developed postoperative surgical wound problem (infection, dehiscence).

Other Problem

Use this section ONLY if the patient problem is **NOT**:

- Implant malposition causing nerve irritation
- Pain did not improve or recurred
- Surgical wound problem

Revision Procedure

Complete this form as thoroughly as possible for a complaint involving a revision surgery.

Please indicate date of revision procedure

Wednesday, June 5, 2024

Reason for revision (e.g. nerve impingement, loosening, etc.). Please be as specific as possible:

The patient was still having pain and there was haloing around the second and third implant. Once removed there was little to no bone within the implant.

Which step(s) were performed during the revision? Choose all that apply:

iFuse implant was removed

Additional iFuse implant was placed

Please further describe the revision procedure (any issues with instrumentation or medical issues?. Be as specific as possible. Failure to provide details will result in continued follow up with you:

The second implant was replaced with a 7.5 iFuse implant. The 3rd implant was removed and we placed a 10mm fully threaded Torq implant more anterior and superior than the original placement of the 3rd implant.

To your knowledge, was the patient's issue resolved after surgery?

Unknown

You may be contacted for further information if your submission is lacking critical details. We appreciate your thoroughness.